

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/624,592	07/23/2003	Thomas Kammerdiener	66376-328-7	7533		
25269 7.	590 04/26/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER .		
DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC			CHANG,	CHANG, CHING		
FRANKLIN SO 1300 I STREE	QUARE, THIRD FLOO	OR WEST	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	N, DC 20005		3748			

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-
_

Supple mental Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/624,592	624,592 KAMMERDIENER ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Ching Chang	3748			

	Ching Chang		3748	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover	sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 31 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN C	CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th	a Notice of Appea ment, affidavit, or o al fee) in complian	l. To avoid aban other evidence, v ce with 37 CFR	donment of this applic which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque	cation in st for Continued
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{6}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONT	HS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		OX (b) WHEN TH	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition tension and the corre shortened statutory p r than three months a	esponding amount eriod for reply orig	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Approximate was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS 	1.37 must be filed to CFR 41.37(e)), to a	within two month void dismissal o	s of the date of filing	the Notice of
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,				ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		search (see NO	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or	tter form for appea	l by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding nur	nher of finally rei	ected claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		inder or initially rej	colod oldims.	•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			mphane / infortatione ((1 102 021).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		ed in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			Il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>28-34</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the odd sufficient reason	date of filing a N s why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to d 	vercome <u>all</u> rejecti	ions under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	-	•	` ' '	•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ii oi tile status oi ti	ie ciainis aitei e	filly is below of attact	ieu.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place	the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PT	O-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.		•		
			Thouse	•
			THOMAS DENIO	N
		SUP	ERVISORY PATENT E	
			ECHNOLOGY CENTER	

Continuation of 13. Other: The Attorney's Remarks on the rejected claims 29-30 are not persuasive. The Fujiyoshi reference discloses a lift control means11 and anticipates " the step of hydraulically reopening the lifting valve at least once after a mechanical lifting phase performed by the cam has ceased " (See Col. 6, line 15 through Col. 8, line 7) in claim 29. The Kruger reference anticipates " the steps of alternatingly mechanically and hydraulically determining lifts of subsequent charge exchange processes " (See Col. 3, line 12 through Col. 4, line 8) in claim 30.